# **SGD: General Analysis and Improved Rates**

Ref: Gower R M, Loizou N, Qian X, et al. SGD: General Analysis and Improved Rates[J]. arXiv: Learning, 2019.

- Linear convergence on SGD
  - General sampling
  - Expected smoothness assumption
  - Linear convergence rates with strong quasi-convexity (this class includes some non-convex functions as well).
  - Furthermore, do not require the functions  $f_i$  to be convex.
- Gradient noise assumption Our analysis does not directly assume a growth condition. Instead, we make use of the remarkably weak expected smoothness assumption.
- Optimal mini-batch size We prove (see Section 4) that this is the case, upto a certain optimal mini-batch size, and provide exact formulas for the dependency of the stepsizes on the mini-batch sizes.
- Learning schedules
  - a closed-form formula for when should SGD switch from a constant stepsize to a decreasing stepsize (see Theorem 3.2).
  - Further, we clearly show how the optimal stepsize (learning rate) increases and the iteration complexity decreases as the mini-batch size increases for both independent sampling and sampling with replacement.
  - We also recover the well known  $\frac{L}{\mu}\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  convergence rate of gradient descent (GD) when the mini-batch size is n; this is the first time a generic SGD analysis recovers the correct rate of GD.
- Over-parameterized
  - In the case of over-parametrized models, we extend the findings of Ma et al. (2018) to independent sampling and sampling with replacement by showing that the optimal mini-batch size is 1.
  - Moreover, we provide results in the more general setting where the model is not necessarily over-parametrized.

# **Stochastic reformulation**

Optimization problem

$$x^* = rgmin_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[ f(x) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) 
ight]$$
 (1)

where each  $f_i$  is smooth.

• Assumption Further, assume that f has a unique global minimizer  $x^*$  and is  $\mu$ -strongly quasi-convex ( $\mu > 0$ ):

$$f(x^*) \ge f(x) + \langle 
abla f(x), x^* - x 
angle + rac{\mu}{2} \|x^* - x\|^2$$
 (2)

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

- **Definition 1.1** We say that a random vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  drawn from some distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  is a sampling vector if its mean is the vector of all ones  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[v_i] = 1$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ 
  - Based on Definition 1.1, we introduce a stochastic reformulation of (1)

$$rgmin_{x\in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ f_v(x) := rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i f_i(x) 
ight]$$
  $(4)$ 

•  $f_v(x)$  and  $abla f_v(x)$  are unbiased estimators of f(x) and abla f(x)

SGD step

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \gamma^k 
abla f_{v^k}(x^k)$$
 (6) where  $v^k \sim \mathcal{D}$  is

sampled i.i.d. at each iteration and  $\gamma^k > 0$  is a stepsize.

#### **Expected smoothness**

• Assumption 2.1 (Expected smoothness) We say that f is  $\mathcal{L}$ -smooth in expectation with respect to distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  if there exists  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(f, \mathcal{D}) > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\|\nabla f_v(x) - \nabla f_v(x^*)\|^2\right] \le 2\mathcal{L}(f(x) - f(x^*))$$

$$\mathbb{R}^d. \text{ For simplicity, we denote it by } (f, \mathcal{D}) \sim ES(\mathcal{L}).$$
(7)

• This assumption contains some non-convex cases.

### Finite gradient noise

• Assumption 2.3 (Finite gradient noise) The gradient noise  $\sigma = \sigma(f, D)$ , defined by  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\|\nabla f_v(x^*)\|^2]$ (8)

is finite.

for all  $x \in$ 

### Key lemma

• Lemma 2.4 If  $(f,\mathcal{D}) \sim ES(\mathcal{L})$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\|\nabla f_v(x)\|^2\right] \le 4\mathcal{L}(f(x) - f(x^*)) + 2\sigma^2.$$
(9)

• This Lemma can be proved directly by combining equations (7) and (8).

#### **Main results**

• **Theorem 3.1** Assume f is  $\mu$ -quasi-strongly convex and that  $(f, D) \sim ES(\mathcal{L})$ . Choose  $\gamma^k = \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}}]$  for all k. Then iterates of SGD given by (6) satisfy:

$$\left[\|x^{k} - x^{*}\|^{2}\right] \leq (1 - \gamma \mu)^{k} \|x^{0} - x^{*}\|^{2} + \frac{2\gamma \sigma^{2}}{\mu}$$
(10)

Hence, given any 
$$\epsilon > 0$$
, choosing stepwise  $\gamma = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}}, \frac{\epsilon\mu}{4\sigma^2}\right\}$  and  
 $k \ge \max\left\{\frac{2\mathcal{L}}{\mu}, \frac{4\sigma^2}{\epsilon\mu^2}\right\} \log\left(\frac{2\|x^0 - x^*\|^2}{\epsilon}\right)$ , implies  $\mathbb{E}\left[\|x^k - x^*\|^2\right] \le \epsilon$ .  
• Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let  $r^k = x^k - x^*$ . From (6), we have  
 $\|r^{k+1}\|^2 = \|x^k - x^* - \gamma^k \nabla f_{v^k}(x^k)\|^2$   
 $= \|r^k\|^2 - 2\gamma\langle r^k, \nabla f_{v^k}(x^k) \rangle + \gamma^2 \|\nabla f_{v^k}(x^k)\|^2$   
Take expectation conditioned on  $x^k$   
 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\|r^{k+1}\|^2 = \|r^k\|^2 - 2\gamma\langle r^k, \nabla f(x^k) \rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\|\nabla f_{v^k}(x^k)\|^2$   
 $\le (1 - \gamma\mu)\|r^k\|^2 - 2\gamma[f(x^k) - f(x^*)] + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\|\nabla f_{v^k}(x^k)\|^2$  Taking

expectation again and using (9)

 $\mathbb{E}$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \| r^{k+1} \|^2 &\leq (1-\gamma\mu) \mathbb{E} \| r^k \|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} [f(x^k) - f(x^*)] + 4\gamma^2 \mathcal{L} \mathbb{E} (f(x) - f(x^*)) + 2\gamma^2 \sigma^2 \ &= (1-\gamma\mu) \mathbb{E} \| r^k \|^2 + 2\gamma (2\gamma \mathcal{L} - 1) \mathbb{E} [f(x^k) - f(x^*)] + 2\gamma^2 \sigma^2 \ &\leq (1-\gamma\mu) \mathbb{E} \| r^k \|^2 + 2\gamma^2 \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\gamma \leq rac{1}{2\mathcal{L}}.$  Recursively we obtain

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|r^k\|^2 &\leq (1-\gamma\mu)^k \|r^0\|^2 + 2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (1-\gamma\mu)^j \gamma^2 \sigma^2 \ &\leq (1-\gamma\mu)^k \|r^0\|^2 + rac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

- We control  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\sigma$  via controlling  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- Furthermore, we can control the additive constant by carefully choosing the step size, as show in Theorem 3.2.
- **Theorem 3.2** (Decreasing stepsizes). Assume f is  $\mu$ -quasi-strongly convex and that  $(f, \mathcal{D}) \sim ES(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} := \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mu}$  and

$$\gamma^{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}} & k \leq 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil \\ \frac{2k+1}{(k+1)^{2}\mu} & k > 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil \end{cases}$$
(14) If  $k > 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil$ , then

SGD iterates given by (6) satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|x^k - x^*\|^2\right] \le \frac{\gamma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{8}{k} + \frac{16[\mathcal{K}]^2}{e^2 k^2} \|x^0 - x^*\|^2 \tag{15}$$

• Proof of Theorem 3.2 Let  $\gamma_k := \frac{2k+1}{(k+1)^2 \mu}$  and let  $k^*$  be an integer that satisfies  $\gamma_k^* \leq \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}}$ . In particular this holds for  $k^* \geq ]4\mathcal{K} - 1]$ . Note that  $\gamma_k$  is decreasing in k and consequently  $\gamma_k \leq \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}}$  for all  $k \geq k^*$ . This in turn guarantees that (13) holds for all  $k \geq k^*$  with  $\gamma_k$ , that is

$$\mathbb{E} \|r^{k+1}\|^{2} \leq (1 - \gamma \mu)^{k} \|r^{0}\|^{2} + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^{2}}{\mu}$$

$$= \frac{k^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}} \mathbb{E} \|r^{k}\|^{2} + \frac{2\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \frac{(2k+1)^{2}}{(k+1)^{4}}$$

$$(k+1)^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{k+1}\|^{2} \leq k^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{k}\|^{2} + \frac{2\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \frac{(2k+1)^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}}$$
Summing from  $t = k^{*}, \dots, k$ 

$$\leq k^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{k}\|^{2} + \frac{8\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}$$

$$k = 8\sigma^{2}$$

Then

$$\leq k^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{*}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{\mu^{2}}$$

$$\sum_{t=k^{*}}^{k} [(t+1)^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{t+1}\|^{2} - t^{2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{t}\|^{2}] \leq \sum_{t=k^{*}}^{k} \frac{8\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}$$
(52)

Then

$$(k+1)^{2}\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^{2} - (k^{*})^{2}\mathbb{E}\|r^{k^{*}}\|^{2} \leq \sum_{t=k^{*}}^{k}[(t+1)^{2}\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^{2} - t^{2}\mathbb{E}\|r^{t}\|^{2}] \leq \frac{8\sigma^{2}(k-k^{*})}{\mu^{2}}$$

We obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^{2} \leq \frac{(k^{*})^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\|r^{k^{*}}\|^{2} + \frac{8\sigma^{2}(k-k^{*})}{\mu^{2}(k+1)^{2}}$$
(53)

For  $k \le k^*$  we have that (13) holds, which combined with (53), gives  $\frac{(k^*)^2}{8\sigma^2(k-k^*)}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\leq \frac{(\kappa^{-})}{(k+1)^2} \mathbb{E} \|r^{k^*}\|^2 + \frac{6\sigma^{-}(\kappa^{-}\kappa^{-})}{\mu^2(k+1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(k^*)^2}{(k+1)^2} \left( (1-\gamma\mu)^{k^*} \|r^0\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{\mu} \right) + \frac{8\sigma^2(k-k^*)}{\mu^2(k+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{(k^*)^2}{(k+1)^2} \left( (1-\frac{\mu}{2\mathcal{L}})^{k^*} \right) \|r^0\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2(k+1)^2} \left( 8(k-k^*) + \frac{(k^*)^2}{\mathcal{K}} \right) \end{split}$$

Choosing  $k^*$  that minimizes the second term of above gives  $k^* = 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil$ , which gives  $\mathbb{E} \| r^{t+1} \|^2 \leq \frac{16\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil^2}{(k+1)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}} \right)^{4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil} \| r^0 \|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2(k-2\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil)}{\mu^2(k+1)^2}$   $\leq \frac{16\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil^2}{e^2(k+1)^2} \| r^0 \|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2}{\mu^2(k+1)}$ 

## Specific $\mathcal{D}$

- Notations
  - $e_C:=\sum_{i\in C}e_i$  for  $C\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$
  - A sampling map S (to choose C):  $\mathbb{P}[S = C] = p_C$ ,  $\forall C \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  where  $p_C \ge 0$ and  $\sum_{C \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} p_C = 1$ .
  - A proper sampling  $S\,p_i:=\mathbb{P}[i\in S]=\sum_{C:i\in C}p_C\geq 0,\quad orall I$

We now define practical sampling vector v = v(S) as followings:

• Lemma 3.3 Let S be a proper sampling, and let  $\hat{P} = \text{Diag}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ . Then the random vector v = v(S) given by

$$w={\hat P}^{-1}e_S$$
  $(17)$  is a sampling vector.

• Samplings **Independent sampling**. The sampling *S* includes every *i*, independently, with probability  $p_i > 0$ .

**Partition sampling**. A partition  $\mathcal{G}$  of [n] is a set consisting of subsets of [n] such that  $\cup_{C \in \mathcal{G}} C = [n]$  and  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$  for any  $C_i, C_j \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $i \neq j$ . A partition sampling S is a sampling such that  $p_C = \mathbb{P}[S = C] > 0$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{G}} p_C = 1$ .  $\tau$ -nice sampling. We say that S is a  $\tau$ -nice if S samples from all subsets of [n] of cardinality  $\tau$  uniformly at random. In this case we have that  $p_i = \tau$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . So,  $\mathbb{P}[v(S) = \frac{n}{\tau}e_C] = \frac{1}{C_n^{\tau}}$  for all subsets  $C \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $\tau$  elements.

Bounding  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\sigma^2$ 

• Assumption 3.4 There exists a symmetric positive definite matrix  $M_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  such that  $f_i(x+h) \ge f_i(x) + \langle \nabla f_i(x), h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_{M_i}^2$ (18)

for all  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $I \in [n]$ , where  $||h||_{M_i} := \langle M_i h, h \rangle$ . In this case we say that  $f_i$  is  $M_i$ -smooth. Furthermore, we assume that each  $f_i$  is convex.

• **Theorem 3.6** Let S be a proper sampling, and v = v(S) (i.e., v is defined by (17). Let  $f_i$  be  $M_i$ -smooth, and  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be defined by  $P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}[i \in S \& j \in S]$ . Then  $(f, \mathcal{D}) \sim ES(\mathcal{L})$ ,

$$\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{L}_{\max} := \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \sum_{C:i \in C} \frac{p_C}{p_i} L_C \right\}$$
where
$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \max_{i \in [n]} \left\{ \sum_{j \in [n]} P_{ij} \frac{\lambda_{\max}(M_j)}{p_i p_j} \right\}$$
and
$$L_C := \frac{1}{n} \lambda_{\max}(\sum_{j \in C} \frac{1}{p_j} M_j).$$
If  $|S| = \tau$ , then
$$L \leq \mathcal{L}_{\max} \leq L_{\max} = \max_{i \in [n]} \lambda_{\max}(M_i)$$
Theorem 3.9 Let
$$h_i = \nabla f_i(x^*).$$
Then
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \frac{P_{ij}}{p_i p_j} \langle h_i, h_j \rangle.$$